

# OpenML Benchmarking Suites and the OpenML100

<b>Bernd Bischl</b>	BERND.BISCHL@STAT.UNI-MUENCHEN.DE
<b>Giuseppe Casalicchio</b>	GIUSEPPE.CASALICCHIO@STAT.UNI-MUENCHEN.DE
<b>Matthias Feurer</b>	FEURERM@CS.UNI-FREIBURG.DE
<b>Frank Hutter</b>	FH@CS.UNI-FREIBURG.DE
<b>Michel Lang</b>	LANG@STATISTIK.TU-DORTMUND.DE
<b>Rafael G. Mantovani</b>	RGMANTOV@ICMC.USP.BR
<b>Jan N. van Rijn</b>	VANRIJN@CS.UNI-FREIBURG.DE
<b>Joaquin Vanschoren</b>	J.VANSCHOREN@TUE.NL

**Editor:** To be determined

## Abstract

We advocate the use of curated, comprehensive benchmark suites of machine learning datasets, backed by standardized OpenML-based interfaces and complementary software toolkits written in Python, Java and R. Major distinguishing features of OpenML benchmark suites are (a) ease of use through standardized data formats, APIs, and existing client libraries; (b) machine-readable meta-information regarding the contents of the suite; and (c) online sharing of results, enabling large scale comparisons. As a first such suite, we propose the OpenML100, a machine learning benchmark suite of 100 classification datasets carefully curated from the thousands of datasets available on OpenML.org.

**Keywords:** machine learning, benchmarking

## 1. A Brief History of Benchmarking Suites

Proper algorithm benchmarking is a hallmark of machine learning research. It allows us, as a community, to track progress over time, to identify still challenging issues, and to learn which algorithms are most appropriate for specific applications. However, we currently lack standardized, easily-accessible benchmark suites of datasets that are curated to reflect important problem domains, practical to use, and that support a rigorous analysis of performance results. This often results in suboptimal shortcuts in study designs, producing rather small-scale, one-off experiments that should be interpreted with caution (Aha, 1992), are hard to reproduce (Pedersen, 2008; Hirsh, 2008), and may even lead to contradictory results (Keogh and Kasetty, 2003).

The machine learning field has long recognized the importance of dataset repositories. The UCI repository (Lichman, 2013) offers a wide range of datasets, but it does not attempt to make them available through a uniform format or API. The same holds for other repositories, such as LIBSVM (Chang and Lin, 2011). `mldata.org` is a very popular repository that does provide an API to easily download datasets, and is readily integrated in scikit-learn. However, it is no longer being maintained, and will very likely be merged with

our OpenML. KEEL (Alcala et al., 2010) offers some benchmark data suites, including one for imbalanced classification and one with data sets with missing values. It has a Java toolkit and an R library for convenient access. Likewise, PMLB (Olson et al., 2017) is another collection of datasets, with strong overlap to UCI, with tools to import them into Python scripts. However, none of the above tools allows to add new datasets or easily share and compare benchmarking results online.<sup>1</sup> Other related benchmark collections include UCR (Chen et al., 2015) for time series data, OpenAI gym (Brockman et al., 2016) for reinforcement learning problems, and Mulan (Tsoumakas et al., 2011) for multilabel datasets, with some of the multilabel datasets already available on OpenML (Probst et al., 2017).

All of these existing repositories are rather well-curated, and for many years machine learning researchers have benchmarked their algorithms on a subset of their data sets. However, most of them do not provide APIs for downloading data in standardized formats into popular machine learning libraries and uploading and comparing the ensuing results. Hence, large scale benchmarks that also build upon previous results of others are still the exception.

## 2. OpenML Benchmarking Suites

We advocate expanding on previous efforts by comprehensive benchmark suites backed by the open machine learning platform OpenML (Vanschoren et al., 2013). Our goal is to substantially facilitate in-depth benchmarking by providing a standard set of datasets covering a wide spectrum of domains and statistical properties, together with rich meta-data and standardized evaluation procedures (i.e., we also provide unified data splits for resampling methods). This eliminates guesswork, makes individual results more comparable, and allows more standardized analysis of all results. In addition, we provide software libraries in several programming languages to easily download these datasets, optionally download prior benchmarking results for reuse and comparison, and to share your results online.

OpenML is an online platform for reproducible, collaborative machine learning experiments and can be used to store and share all aspects of machine learning experiments, including data, code, experiment parameters and results. All our datasets in OpenML are provided in a uniform format, highlight issues such as unique-valued or constant features, include extensive meta-data for deeper analysis of evaluation results, and provide task-specific meta-data, such as target features and predefined train-test splits.

Researchers can conveniently explore the datasets included in OpenML through comprehensive APIs to find suitable learning tasks for their planned experiments, depending on required data set characteristics. These APIs allow, for instance, to find all high-dimensional data sets with few observations and no missing values.

## 3. The OpenML100 Benchmarking Suite

On top of OpenML’s customizable functionality, we provide a new standard benchmark suite of 100 high-quality datasets carefully curated from the many thousands available on OpenML: the OpenML100.

---

1. The latter used to be possible with DELVE (<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~delve/>) and [mlcomp.org](http://mlcomp.org), but both services are no longer maintained.

We selected classification datasets for this benchmarking suite to satisfy the following requirements: (a) the number of observations are between 500 and 100 000 to focus on medium-sized datasets, (b) the number of features does not exceed 5000 features to keep the runtime of algorithms low, (c) the target attribute has at least two classes, and (d) the ratio of the minority class and the majority class is above 0.05 (to eliminate highly imbalanced datasets). We excluded datasets which (a) cannot be randomized via a 10-fold cross-validation due to grouped samples, (b) are a subset of a larger dataset available on OpenML, (c) have no source or reference available, (d) are created by binarization of regression tasks or multiclass classification tasks, or (e) include sparse data (e.g., text mining data sets). A detailed list of the data properties can be found on OpenML<sup>2</sup>.

#### 4. How to use the OpenML100

In this section we demonstrate how our dataset collection can be conveniently imported for benchmarking using our client libraries in Python, Java and R. Figure 1 provides exemplary code chunks for downloading the datasets and running a basic classifier in all three languages. In these examples, we use the Python library with scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011), the R package (Casalicchio et al., 2017) with mlr (Bischl et al., 2016), and the Java library with Weka (Hall et al., 2009). OpenML has also been integrated in MOA and Rapid-Miner (van Rijn and Vanschoren, 2015).

OpenML works with the concept of *tasks* to facilitate comparable and reproducible results. A task extends a dataset with task-specific information, such as target attributes and evaluation procedures. Datasets and tasks are automatically downloaded at first use and are afterwards cached locally. *Studies* combine a specific set of tasks and can also hold all benchmarking results obtained on them. In the code examples, the OpenML100 tasks are downloaded through the study with the same name. They also show how to access the raw data set (although this is not needed to train a model), fit a simple classifier on the defined data splits, and finally publish runs on the OpenML server. Note that the Java implementation automatically uploads results to the server.

#### 5. Creating new Benchmarking Suites

The set of datasets on `OpenML.org` can easily be extended, and additional OpenML benchmark suites, e.g., for regression and time-series data, can easily be created by defining sets of datasets according to specific needs. Instructions for creating new benchmarking suites can be found on <https://www.openml.org>. We currently envision two routes of extensions: (a) facilitate the creation and versioning of these benchmark suites on `OpenML.org`; and (b) adding automatic statistical analysis, visualization and reporting on the online platform.

**Acknowledgements** This work has partly been supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant no. 716721, through grant #2015/03986-0 from the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), as well as Priority Programme Autonomous Learning (SPP 1527, grant

---

2. <https://www.openml.org/s/14>

```

1 import openml
2 import sklearn
3 benchmark_suite = openml.study.get_study('OpenML100','tasks') # obtain the benchmark suite
4 clf = sklearn.pipeline.Pipeline(steps=[('imputer',sklearn.preprocessing.Imputer()), ('estimator',
5 sklearn.tree.DecisionTreeClassifier())]) # build a sklearn classifier
6 for task_id in benchmark_suite.tasks: # iterate over all tasks
7     task = openml.tasks.get_task(task_id) # download the OpenML task
8     X, y = task.get_X_and_y() # get the data (not used in this example)
9     openml.config.apikey = 'FILL_IN_OPENML_API_KEY' # set the OpenML Api Key
10    run = openml.runs.run_model_on_task(task,clf) # run classifier on splits (requires API key)
11    score = run.get_metric_score(sklearn.metrics.accuracy_score) # print accuracy score
12    print('Data set: %s; Accuracy: %0.2f' % (task.get_dataset().name,score.mean()))
13    run.publish() # publish the experiment on OpenML (optional)
14    print('URL for run: %s/run/%d' %(openml.config.server,run.run_id))

```

(a) Python, available on <https://github.com/openml/openml-python/>

```

1 public static void runTasksAndUpload() throws Exception {
2     OpenmlConnector openml = new OpenmlConnector();
3     Study benchmarksuite = openml.studyGet("OpenML100", "tasks"); // obtain the benchmark suite
4     Classifier tree = new REPTree(); // build a Weka classifier
5     for (Integer taskId : benchmarksuite.getTasks()) { // iterate over all tasks
6         Task t = openml.taskGet(taskId); // download the OpenML task
7         Instances d = InstancesHelper.getDatasetFromTask(openml, t); // obtain the dataset
8         openml.setApiKey("FILL_IN_OPENML_API_KEY");
9         int runId = RunOpenmlJob.executeTask(openml, new WekaConfig(), taskId, tree);
10        Run run = openml.runGet(runId);} // retrieve the uploaded run

```

(b) Java, available on Maven Central with artifact id 'org.openml.openmlweka'

```

1 library(OpenML)
2 lrn = makeLearner('classif.rpart') # construct a simple CART classifier
3 task.ids = getOMLStudy('OpenML100')$tasks$task.id # obtain the list of suggested tasks
4 for (task.id in task.ids) { # iterate over all tasks
5     task = getOMLTask(task.id) # download single OML task
6     data = as.data.frame(task) # obtain raw data set
7     run = runTaskMlr(task, learner = lrn) # run constructed learner
8     setOMLConfig(apikey = 'FILL_IN_OPENML_API_KEY')
9     upload = uploadOMLRun(run) # upload and tag the run
10 }

```

(c) R, available on CRAN via package OpenML

Figure 1: Running classifiers on a task and (optionally) uploading the results. Uploading requires the user to fill in an API key.

HU 1900/3-1) and Collaborative Research Center SFB 876/A3 from the German Research Foundation (DFG).

## References

- D. W. Aha. Generalizing from case studies: A case study. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pages 1–10, 1992.
- J. Alcalá, A. Fernandez, J. Luengo, J. Derrac, S. Garcia, L. Sanchez, and F. Herrera. Keel datamining software tool: Data set repository, integration of algorithms and experimental analysis framework. *Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing*, 17(2-3):255–287, 2010.
- B. Bischl, M. Lang, L. Kotthoff, J. Schiffner, J. Richter, E. Studerus, G. Casalicchio, and Z. M. Jones. mlr: Machine learning in R. *JMLR*, 17(170):1–5, 2016.
- G. Brockman, V. Cheung, L. Pettersson, J. Schneider, J. Schulman, J. Tang, and W. Zaremba. OpenAI Gym. *arXiv:1606.01540*, 2016.
- G. Casalicchio, J. Bossek, M. Lang, D. Kirchoff, P. Kerschke, B. Hofner, H. Seibold, J. Vanschoren, and B. Bischl. OpenML: An R package to connect to the machine learning platform OpenML. *Computational Statistics*, Jun 2017.
- C. C. Chang and C. J. Lin. LIBSVM: A library for support vector machines. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, 2(3):27, 2011.
- Y. Chen, E. Keogh, B. Hu, N. Begum, A. Bagnall, A. Mueen, and G. Batista. The UCR time series classification archive, July 2015. [www.cs.ucr.edu/~eamonn/time\\_series\\_data/](http://www.cs.ucr.edu/~eamonn/time_series_data/).
- M. Hall, E. Frank, G. Holmes, B. Pfahringer, P. Reutemann, and I. H. Witten. The WEKA data mining software: An update. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 11(1):10–18, 2009.
- H. Hirsh. Data mining research: Current status and future opportunities. *Statistical Analysis and Data Mining*, 1(2):104–107, 2008.
- E. Keogh and S. Kasetty. On the need for time series data mining benchmarks: A survey and empirical demonstration. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 7(4):349–371, 2003.
- M. Lichman. UCI machine learning repository, 2013. URL <http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml>.
- R. S. Olson, W. La Cava, P. Orzechowski, R. J. Urbanowicz, and J. H. Moore. PMLB: A large benchmark suite for machine learning evaluation and comparison. *arXiv:1703.00512*, 2017.
- T. Pedersen. Empiricism is not a matter of faith. *Computational Linguistics*, 34:465–470, 2008.
- F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2825–2830, 2011.
- P. Probst, Q. Au, G. Casalicchio, C. Stachl, and B. Bischl. Multilabel classification with R package mlr. *The R Journal*, 9(1):352–369, 2017.
- G. Tsoumakas, E. Spyromitros-Xioufis, J. Vilcek, and I. Vlahavas. Mulan: A java library for multi-label learning. *JMLR*, pages 2411–2414, Jul 2011.
- J. N. van Rijn and J. Vanschoren. Sharing RapidMiner workflows and experiments with OpenML. In *MetaSel@ PKDD/ECML*, pages 93–103, 2015.
- J. Vanschoren, J. N. van Rijn, B. Bischl, and L. Torgo. OpenML: Networked science in machine learning. *SIGKDD Explorations*, 15(2):49–60, 2013.

## Appendix A. Datasets Included in the OpenML100 Benchmark Suite

Table 1: Datasets included in the OpenML100 benchmark suite. For each dataset, we show: the OpenML task id and name, the number of classes (nClass), features (nFeat) and observations (nObs), as well as the ratio of the minority and majority class sizes (ratioMinMaj).

Task id	Name	nClass	nFeat	nObs	ratioMinMaj	Task id	Name	nClass	nFeat	nObs	ratioMinMaj
3	kr-vs-kp	2	37	3196	0.91	3913	kc2	2	22	522	0.26
6	letter	26	17	20000	0.90	3917	kc1	2	22	2109	0.18
11	balance-scale	3	5	625	0.17	3918	pc1	2	22	1109	0.07
12	mfeat-factors	10	217	2000	1.00	3946	KDDCup09_churn	2	231	50000	0.08
14	mfeat-fourier	10	77	2000	1.00	3948	KDDCup09_upselling	2	231	50000	0.08
15	breast-w	2	10	699	0.53	3950	musk	2	170	6598	0.18
16	mfeat-karhunen	10	65	2000	1.00	3954	MagicTelescope	2	12	19020	0.54
18	mfeat-morphological	10	7	2000	1.00	7592	adult	2	15	48842	0.31
20	mfeat-pixel	10	241	2000	1.00	9914	wilt	2	6	4839	0.06
21	car	4	7	1728	0.05	9946	wdbc	2	31	569	0.59
22	mfeat-zernike	10	48	2000	1.00	9950	micro-mass	20	1301	571	0.18
23	cmc	3	10	1473	0.53	9952	phoneme	2	6	5404	0.42
24	mushroom	2	23	8124	0.93	9954	one-hundred-plants-margin	100	65	1600	1.00
28	optdigits	10	65	5620	0.97	9955	one-hundred-plants-shape	100	65	1600	1.00
29	credit-a	2	16	690	0.80	9956	one-hundred-plants-texture	100	65	1599	0.94
31	credit-g	2	21	1000	0.43	9957	qsar-biodeg	2	42	1055	0.51
32	pendigits	10	17	10992	0.92	9960	wall-robot-navigation	4	25	5456	0.15
36	segment	7	20	2310	1.00	9964	semeion	10	257	1593	0.96
37	diabetes	2	9	768	0.54	9967	steel-plates-fault	2	34	1941	0.53
41	soybean	19	36	683	0.09	9968	tamilnadu-electricity	20	4	45781	0.48
43	spambase	2	58	4601	0.65	9970	hill-valley	2	101	1212	1.00
45	splice	3	62	3190	0.46	9971	ilpd	2	11	583	0.40
49	tic-tac-toe	2	10	958	0.53	9976	madelon	2	501	2600	1.00
53	vehicle	4	19	846	0.91	9977	nomao	2	119	34465	0.40
58	waveform-5000	3	41	5000	0.98	9978	ozone-level-Shr	2	73	2534	0.07
219	electricity	2	9	45312	0.74	9979	cardiotocography	10	36	2126	0.09
2074	satimage	6	37	6430	0.41	9980	climate-model-simulation-crashes	2	21	540	0.09
2079	eucalyptus	5	20	736	0.49	9981	cnae-9	9	857	1080	1.00
3021	sick	2	30	3772	0.07	9983	eeg-eye-state	2	15	14980	0.81
3022	vowel	11	13	990	1.00	9985	first-order-theorem-proving	6	52	6118	0.19
3481	isolet	26	618	7797	0.99	9986	gas-drift	6	129	13910	0.55
3485	scene	2	300	2407	0.22	10093	banknote-authentication	2	5	1372	0.80
3492	monks-problems-1	2	7	556	1.00	10101	blood-transfusion-service-center	2	5	748	0.31
3493	monks-problems-2	2	7	601	0.52	14964	artificial-characters	10	8	10218	0.42
3494	monks-problems-3	2	7	554	0.92	14965	bank-marketing	2	17	45211	0.13
3510	JapaneseVowels	9	15	9961	0.48	14966	Bioreponse	2	1777	3751	0.84
3512	synthetic_control	6	62	600	1.00	14967	cjs	6	35	2796	0.40
3543	irish	2	6	500	0.80	14968	cylinder-bands	2	40	540	0.73
3549	analcatdata_authorship	4	71	841	0.17	14969	GesturePhaseSegmentationProcessed	5	33	9873	0.34
3560	analcatdata_dmft	6	5	797	0.79	14970	har	6	562	10299	0.72
3561	profb	2	10	672	0.50	34536	Internet-Advertisements	2	1559	3279	0.16
3567	collins	15	24	500	0.07	34537	PhishingWebsites	2	31	11055	0.80
3573	mnist_784	10	785	70000	0.80	34538	MiceProtein	8	82	1080	0.70
3889	sylva_agnostic	2	217	14395	0.07	34539	Amazon_employee_access	2	10	32769	0.06
3891	gina_agnostic	2	971	3468	0.97	125920	dresses-sales	2	13	500	0.72
3896	ada_agnostic	2	49	4562	0.33	125921	LED-display-domain-7digit	10	8	500	0.65
3899	mozilla4	2	6	15545	0.49	125922	texture	11	41	5500	1.00
3902	pc4	2	38	1458	0.14	125923	Australian	2	15	690	0.80
3903	pc3	2	38	1563	0.11	146606	biggs	2	29	98050	0.89
3904	jml	2	22	10885	0.24	146607	SpeedDating	2	123	8378	0.20